

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
WESTERN DIVISION

ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company; ATLANTIC
RECORDING CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation; BMG MUSIC,
a New York general partnership; CAPITOL
RECORDS, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company; ELEKTRA
ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC., a
Delaware corporation; INTERSCOPE
RECORDS, a California general
partnership; LAFACE RECORDS, LLC,
a Delaware limited liability company;
LAVA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company; SONY BMG MUSIC
ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general
partnership; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.,
a Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS
AMERICA, INC., a California corporation;
WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a
Delaware corporation; and ZOMBA
RECORDING LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

DOES 1-12,

Defendants.

No. 4:08cv00618 SWW

ORDER

Before the Court is an application for leave to take immediate discovery [doc.#3].

Plaintiffs, record companies who own the copyrights to certain sound recordings, seek leave of this Court to serve limited, immediate discovery on a third party Internet Service Provider, Arkansas Tech University, to determine the true identities of Doe defendants, who are being

sued for copyright infringement. Having considered the matter, the Court grants plaintiffs' motion.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiffs may serve immediate discovery on Arkansas Tech University to obtain the identity of each Doe defendant by serving a subpoena, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 45, that seeks documents that identify each Doe defendant, including the name, current (and permanent) addresses and telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, and Media Access Control addresses for each defendant. The subpoena may also seek all documents and electronically-stored information relating to the assignment of any IP address which Arkansas Tech University cannot link to a specific Doe defendant. The disclosure of this information is ordered pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(2)(B).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any information disclosed to plaintiffs in response to the Rule 45 subpoena may be used by plaintiffs solely for the purpose of protecting plaintiffs' rights under the Copyright Act.

Dated this 18th day of July 2008.

/s/Susan Webber Wright

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE